Slip Surface by Variation for a Smooth Wall

by Farid A. Chouery, P.E., S.E.¹

©2006 Farid Chouery all rights reserved

Abstract

Variational method is used to determine active and passive forces for a smooth wall with a cohesionless back fill. The resulting slip surface shows that the extremum of the force occurs when the slip surface is the Coulomb line. The analysis shows that to achieve the Coulomb line the internal shear in the slices must be zero. For special boundary conditions, where the slip surface cannot be a line, the forces, the slip surface, the pressure on the wall and the location of the resultant on the wall are obtained for active and passive conditions. The method is adequate and will always give the derived forces and slip surfaces.

Introduction

The problem of active and passive earth pressure for a smooth wall has been solved by Coulomb theory (1776) [4], Rankine theory (1857) [8], and log-spiral theory after Terzaghi (1941 & 1943) [11,12]. These theories are presented in most soil mechanics texts [12,13]. Each of these methods involves assuming a plane slip surface as an approximation of a more complex surface as observed in both model tests and field observations. The reason in the differences between these slip surface methods and the laboratory is in the laboratory the observed slip surface is that of a slope stability problem and not of a wall pressure problem. In this paper, a more general solution to the problem is developed using variational methods. In variational method, a general form of failure surface is derived, and then "varied" until the extreme condition of pressure on a wall is found. In a similar approach, variational method has been used to find the factor of safety in slope stability: Baker and Garber (1978) [1] and numerical methods by Leshechinsky (1990) [6]. The pressure discussed in this paper is for cohesionless homogeneous soil for a smooth wall. It can be readily extended to multilayer soil. However, further work is required.

With the variational method, reference [15], one selects arbitrary admissible slip surfaces and determines the forces acting on the boundary of the earth mass. The definitive slip surface is one that yields an extremum value for the earth pressure. In this paper, variational methods are used to determine the slip surface, based on some practical assumptions. The solution shows that the Coulomb wedge is a particular case of the general solution presented here. It is believed that the application of variational methods to earth pressure problems is a practical advancement to understanding of retaining wall problems.

¹ Structural, Electrical and Foundation Engineer, FAC Systems Inc., 6738 19th Ave. NW, Seattle, WA.

Analysis 1

It is of some use to first show how the Coulomb wedge is obtained through the extremum method, when assuming the slip surface is a wedge. Consider the wedge in Fig. 1(a) making an angle α with the horizontal, a weight W, a horizontal force E, and the resultant force Q with an angle ϕ on the wedge for active condition.



Active Coulomb WedgeForce DiagonalFIG. 1(a)FIG. 1(b)

For passive wedge replace ϕ by $-\phi$ and the equations hold. Thus, from the force diagram in Fig. 1(b)

$E = W \tan(\alpha - \phi)$	Active	(1a)
$E = W \tan(\alpha + \phi)$	Passive	(1b)

Now *W* is the area of the wedge time's γ , where γ is the unit weight of soil.

Substituting Eq. 2 in Eqs.1a-b yields

$$E = \frac{\gamma y^2}{2} \tan(\alpha - \phi) \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha\right) \quad \text{Active.} \tag{3a}$$
$$E = \frac{\gamma y^2}{2} \tan(\alpha + \phi) \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha\right) \quad ... \text{Passive.} \tag{3b}$$

The extremum condition requires that (-)

$$\frac{dE}{d\alpha} = 0, \text{ Thus } \frac{\tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha\right)}{\cos^2(\alpha - \phi)} - \frac{\tan(\alpha - \phi)}{\cos^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \alpha\right)} = 0 \tag{4}$$

Using trigonometric identity, Eq. 4 reduces to

$$\sin^2(\pi/2 - \alpha) - \sin^2(\alpha - \phi) = 0$$
 (5) or

$$\cos(\pi/2 - \phi)\sin(\pi/2 - 2\alpha + \phi) = 0$$
(6)

Let
$$\pi/2 - 2\alpha + \phi = n\pi$$
, where $n = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3, \dots$ (7)

Then $\alpha = \pi/4 - n\pi/2 + \phi/2$. (If $\phi = 0$ as in hydrostatic pressure, then $\alpha = \pi/4$, and n = 0.) Thus

 $\alpha = \pi/4 + \phi/2 \qquad (8a)$ $\alpha = \pi/4 - \phi/2 \qquad (8b)$

Substituting Eq. 8 in Eq. 3, yields the force

$$E = \frac{\gamma y}{2} \tan^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\phi}{2}\right).$$
 (9a)
$$E = \frac{\gamma y}{2} \tan^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{4} + \frac{\phi}{2}\right).$$
 Passive... (9b)

These equations give the same K_a and K_p of Coulomb-Rankine for a horizontal force E on the wedge with zero friction on the wall.

Analysis 2

In this second analysis, it is desired not to restrict the slip surface to a line and to find a function y(x) that would extremize *E*, as shown in Fig. 2(a).



It is assumed that the wall moves sufficiently such that the friction between the wedges equal 0. Thus, $T_{n+1} = T_n$, as in Fig. 2(b), for all the wedges. Since the wall has no friction, $T_i = 0$ for all the wedges. This assumption is similar to the assumptions used in

stability analysis by the method of slices (Bishop (1955) [2]) and in analysis of passive earth pressures for a wall with friction by Shields and Tolunay (1973) [10]. If the T_i 's are to be included in the derivations, K_0 can be determined (see companion paper by the author [4,5]). Thus, each slice is in equilibrium, so the overturning moment remains in balance and not of concern.

Thus, the force *dE* can be written as

$$E_{n+1} - E_n = dE = \tan(\alpha - \phi) \, dW.$$
(10)

Replacing
$$dW$$
 by $\gamma y dx$ and integrating yields

$$E = \gamma \int_0^{x_0} \tan(\alpha - \phi) y dx.$$
(11)
or

$$E = \gamma \int_{0}^{x_0} \frac{\tan \alpha - \tan \phi}{1 + \tan \alpha \tan \phi} y dx.$$
 (12)

Now
$$\tan \alpha = -\frac{\alpha y}{dx} = y'$$
....(13)

Substituting Eq. 13 in Eq. 12 yields

$$E = -\gamma \int_{0}^{x_{0}} \frac{y' + \tan \phi}{1 - y' \tan \phi} y dx(14)$$

It is necessary to find the extremum of *E* in Eq. 14 for the boundary condition:

(i) Given (0, y₀) and (x₀, y₁), find the slip surface that passes through these points.
(ii) Given (0, y₀) and P₀ located somewhere on a given line x = x₀ or a line y = y₁, find the slip surface.

Therefore Euler's equation [17] applies where

$$\Re = -\gamma \frac{y' + \tan \phi}{1 - y' \tan \phi} y \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial \Re}{\partial y} - \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{\partial \Re}{\partial y'} \right) = 0.....(15)$$

which can be written as
$$\Re - y' \frac{\partial \Re}{\partial y'} = h_0....(16)$$

where \Re does not involve *x* explicitly, and h_0 is a constant. Substituting in Eq. 16 yields

$$\mathcal{P}\left[-\frac{y' + \tan\phi}{1 - y' \tan\phi} + y' \frac{1 + \tan^2\phi}{\left(1 - y' \tan\phi\right)^2}\right] = h_0 \quad \dots \tag{17}$$

Eq. 17 reduces to the following:

$$\gamma y \tan \phi (y'^{2} + 2y' \tan \phi - 1) = h_{0} (1 - y' \tan \phi)^{2} \quad \dots \tag{18}$$

Note Eq. 18 is a parabola in y'. From Eq. 19: $h_0 \ge 0$ for $y' \le -\tan \phi - 1/\cos \phi$ or $y' \ge -\tan \phi + 1/\cos \phi$, and $h_0 < 0$ for $-\tan \phi - 1/\cos \phi \le y' \le -\tan \phi + 1/\cos \phi$. From trigonometric identity

From Eq. 13 and Eq. 20, $h_0 \ge 0$ for $\alpha \ge \pi/4 + \phi/2$ or $\alpha \le -(\pi/4 - \phi/2)$, and $h_0 < 0$ for $-(\pi/4 - \phi/2) \le \alpha \le \pi/4 + \phi/2$. Taking α to be positive yields the following bound on h_0 :

$$h_0 \ge 0$$
 for $\alpha \ge \pi/4 + \phi/2$, and $h_0 < 0$ for $0 \le \alpha \le \pi/4 + \phi/2$Active.....(21)

For the passive condition, replacing ϕ by $-\phi$ in Eq. 21 yields

$$h_0 \ge 0$$
 for $\alpha \ge \pi/4 - \phi/2$, and $h_0 < 0$ for $0 \le \alpha \le \pi/4 - \phi/2$Passive.....(22)

The slip surface can be derived by rewriting Eq. 18 to

where $h = h_0 / (\gamma \tan \phi)$ is a new constant (see App. III). Rewriting Eq. 23 in x' instead of y' yields

$$x'^{2} - 2\tan\phi x' - \left(\frac{y - h\tan^{2}\phi}{y + h}\right) = 0$$
 (24)

Solving the quadratic equation in Eq. 24 yields

$$x' = \tan\phi \pm \sqrt{\tan^2\phi + \frac{y - h\tan^2\phi}{y + h}}$$
 (25)

or

$$x' = \tan\phi \pm \frac{1}{\cos\phi}\sqrt{\frac{y}{y+h}}$$
 (26)

If h = 0 in Eq. 26, then x' becomes a constant and y(x) must follow the Coulomb wedge. Since $x' = -\cot \alpha$ and $\alpha = \pi / 4 + \phi / 2$ for a Coulomb wedge it follows from Eq. 20 that

$$x' = -\cot(\pi / 4 + \phi / 2) = -\tan(\pi / 4 - \phi / 2) = \tan(\phi - 1 / \cos(\phi))$$
(27)

In order to satisfy Eq. 27, the plus sign in Eq. 26 can be dropped, and the equations become

$$x' = \tan \phi - \frac{1}{\cos \phi} \sqrt{\frac{y}{y+h}} \qquad \text{(28a)}$$
$$x' = -\tan \phi - \frac{1}{\cos \phi} \sqrt{\frac{y}{y+h}} \qquad \text{(28b)}$$

Integrating Eq. 28a yields the slip surface equation

$$x = y \tan \phi - \frac{1}{\cos \phi} \left(\sqrt{y^2 + hy} - \frac{h}{2} \ln \left| 2\sqrt{y^2 + hy} + 2y + h \right| \right) + k$$
 (29)

and the active slip surface, Eq. 29, becomes

$$x = (y - y_0) \tan \phi - \frac{1}{\cos \phi} \left(\sqrt{y^2 + hy} - \sqrt{y_0^2 + hy_0} - \frac{h}{2} \ln \left| \frac{2\sqrt{y^2 + hy} + 2y + h}{2\sqrt{y_0^2 + hy_0} + 2y_0 + h} \right| \right) ... (31a)$$

For passive it becomes

$$x = -(y - y_0) \tan \phi - \frac{1}{\cos \phi} \left(\sqrt{y^2 + hy} - \sqrt{y_0^2 + hy_0} - \frac{h}{2} \ln \left| \frac{2\sqrt{y^2 + hy} + 2y + h}{2\sqrt{y_0^2 + hy_0} + 2y_0 + h} \right| \right)$$
(31b)

To find the active force *E*, Eq. 14 can be rewritten in terms of x' instead of y', dy instead of dx, and the interval $[y_0, 0]$ instead of $[0, x_0]$, where y_1 is taken to be 0 in Fig. 2(a). Thus

$$E = \gamma \int_{y_0}^{0} \frac{1 + x' \tan \phi}{\tan \phi - x'} y x' dy$$
 (32)

Substituting Eq. 28a in Eq. 32 and rearranging yields

$$E = \gamma \int_{0}^{y_0} y \left(\tan^2 \phi + \frac{1}{\cos^2 \phi} - \frac{\tan \phi}{\cos \phi} \frac{2y + h}{\sqrt{y^2 + hy}} \right) dy$$
(33)

Thus the mathematical pressure $q(y) = \frac{dE}{dy}$, from each slice to another, becomes

$$q(y) = \frac{dE}{dy} = y \left(\tan^2 \phi + \frac{1}{\cos^2 \phi} - \frac{\tan \phi}{\cos \phi} \frac{2y + h}{\sqrt{y^2 + hy}} \right) \dots (34)$$

It is desired to investigate the extremum of q(y) with respect to h, thus

Thus the extremum occurs at the Coulomb wedge for passive and active. Hence it has been shown that the Coulomb wedge is the wedge for a smooth wall that moves sufficiently such that $T_{n+1} = T_n$. It is noted that all of $T_i = 0$ since the wall is smooth. For other prescribed conditions (i) and (ii) above it is necessary to use the slip surface of Eqs. 31a-b. Integrating Eq. 33 yields the active force

$$E = \frac{\mathcal{W}_0^2}{2} \left[\tan^2 \phi + \frac{1}{\cos^2 \phi} - \frac{2 \tan \phi}{\cos \phi} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \right) \sqrt{1 + \lambda} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \ln \left| \frac{2\sqrt{1 + \lambda} + \lambda + 2}{\lambda} \right| \right] \right] \dots (36a)$$

and the passive force

$$E = \frac{\gamma_0^2}{2} \left[\tan^2 \phi + \frac{1}{\cos^2 \phi} + \frac{2 \tan \phi}{\cos \phi} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \right) \sqrt{1 + \lambda} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4} \ln \left| \frac{2\sqrt{1 + \lambda} + \lambda + 2}{\lambda} \right| \right] \dots \dots \dots \dots (36b)$$

where $\lambda = h / y_0$. Note that the right hand term involving λ in Eqs. 36a-b has a minimum at $\lambda = -1$ and $\lambda = 0$. It is desired to show that for $\lambda < 0$ the slip surface does not reach the top of ground at the point $(x_0,0)$. It has been shown in Eqs. 21 and 22 that if $h_0 < 0$ (or $\lambda < 0$), then $\alpha \le \pi/4 + \phi/2$ for active, and $\alpha \le \pi/4 - \phi/2$ for passive. Thus a slip surface with $\lambda < 0$ is further than the triangle Coulomb wedge as shown in Fig. 3. Furthermore, Eq. 28 shows that $x' = \infty$ or y' = 0 at some value y = -h. Since h < 0, the y value must be positive and cannot be 0. Thus y is below ground. Also, for y < -h the term $\sqrt{y+h}$ is not defined in Eq. 28 and Eq. 29. Thus for $\lambda < 0$ Eqs. 36a-b are not applicable.



Slip Surface for h < 0 FIG. 3

Eq. 32 must be integrated from y_0 to y_1 instead of y_0 to 0, where the point (x_0, y_1) is a point underground. This integration yields

$$E = \gamma \left(\frac{y_0^2}{2} - \frac{y_1^2}{2}\right) \left(\tan^2 \phi + \frac{1}{\cos^2 \phi}\right) - \frac{\gamma \tan \phi}{\cos \phi} \left[\left(y_0 - \frac{h}{2}\right) \sqrt{y_0^2 + hy_0} \right]$$

Thus it can be easily concluded that $\lambda = 0$ is the only minimum of the λ terms in Eqs. 36a-b. Hence *E* has a maximum at $\lambda = 0$ for active and a minimum at $\lambda = 0$ for passive. This shows that the Coulomb wedge gives the extremum for *E* as it has been shown in Eq. 35.

Condition (i)

It is seen that Eqs. 28a-b, 31a-b, 36a-b, and 37a-b are useful for condition (i) when the slip surface must pass through a point and physically is not represented by the Coulomb wedge. The following examples have this situation:

<u>Example 1</u> Consider Fig. 4, where the passive pressure is to be calculated for a slip surface that is controlled by the presence of a basement wall. The Coulomb wedge cannot physically go through the wall. Thus the general slip surface is applicable.



Example -1 FIG. 4

In this situation y_0, x_0 are known. Thus, substituting their values in Eq. 31b yields $x_0 = y_0 \tan \phi + \frac{1}{\cos \phi} \left(\sqrt{y_0^2 + hy_0} + \frac{h}{2} \ln \left| \frac{h}{2\sqrt{y_0^2 + hy_0} + 2y_0 + h} \right| \right) \dots (38)$ The value *h* can be obtained numerically from Eq. 38, and *E* can be calculated from Eq. 36b. Thus, if $\gamma = 120 \text{ pcf} (1.93 \text{ g/cm}^3)$, $\phi = 30 \text{ degrees}$, $y_0 = 10 \text{ ft} (3.05 \text{ m})$, and $x_0 = 10 \text{ ft} (3.05 \text{ m})$, then from Eq. 38, h = 27.3318 ft (8.3307 m). Taking $\lambda = h / y_0 = 2.73321 \text{ in}$ Eq. 36b gives E = 21,455 plf (31.97 g/m). If a straight line is used from $(0, y_0)$ to $(x_0, 0)$, then $\alpha = 45$ degrees. Substituting in Eq. 3b $y = y_0 = 10 \text{ ft} (3.05 \text{ m})$ gives E = 22,392 plf (33.37 g/m). Note that Eq. 36b gives the extremum with 4.4% difference over the straight line.

Example 2 Consider Fig. 5, where a slip surface passing through $(0, y_0)$ to (x_0, y_1) must be analyzed for an active condition. $\gamma = 120$ pcf (1.93 g/cm³), and $\phi = 30$ degrees. From Eq. 31a substituting $y_0 = 20$ ft (6.1 m), $x = x_0 = 5$ ft (1.52 m), $y = y_1 = 5$ ft (1.52 m), and calculating numerically h = 6.88284 ft (2.0979 m). Substituting in Eq. 37a gives E =6,740 plf (10.05 g/m). If comparing with a straight line, line 1, from $(0, y_0)$ to (x_0, y_1) , it gives E = 6,651 plf (9.91 g/m), where Eq. 1a was used with W = .5(5+20)(5)(120)=7,500plf (11.18 g/m), and $\alpha = 71.565$ degrees. Thus, a 1.3% difference over the derived is obtained. To find E_{max} further analysis must be done for line 2 and the result must be compared with line 1.



<u>Example 3</u> In the case of stability analysis for tieback wall, as shown in Fig. 6(a), the slip surface is shown to pass through the point in the middle of the anchor, see reference [3,7,9,16]. In this case the stability factor $T_{\rm max}/T_{\rm design}$ is to be calculated as in reference [16]. From the force diagram, Fig. 6(b), the summation of horizontal and vertical forces are

 $p_a + T\cos\xi + Q\sin\psi = P_a$ (39)

 $T\sin\xi + Q\cos\psi = W \tag{40}$

Substituting Q from Eq. 40 in Eq. 39 yields

$$T = \frac{P_a - W \tan \psi - p_a}{\cos \xi - \sin \xi \tan \psi}$$
(41)

From Eq. 41 $T = T_{\text{max}}$ can be calculated where $W \tan \psi = E$ of Eq. 37a, and the constant *h* can be found from Eq. 31a for a given (x_0, y_1) . *W* can be calculated numerically from integrating the right hand side of Eq. 31a from y_1 to y_0



where f(y) is the right hand side of Eq. 31a. Thus, $\psi = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{E}{W}\right)$, $p_a = \frac{1}{2} y_1^2 \tan^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\phi}{2}\right)$, and $P_a = \frac{1}{2} y_0^2 \tan^2\left(\frac{\pi}{4} - \frac{\phi}{2}\right)$.

Taking for example $y_0 = 20$ ft (6.1 m), $\gamma = 120$ pcf (1.93 g/cm³), $\phi = 30$ degrees, $\xi = 20$ degrees, $T_{design} = 3,872$ plf (5.77 g/m), $P_a = .333(120)(20)(20)/2 = 8,000$ plf (11.92 g/m), $y_2 = 6$ ft (1.83 m), $x_0 = 15$ ft (4.57 m), $y_1 = 6 + 15 \tan(20) = 11.46$ ft (3.49 m), $p_a = .333(120)(11.46)(11.46)/2 = 2,626$ plf (3.91 g/m), and substituting $x = x_0 = 15$ ft (4.57 m), and $y = y_1 = 11.46$ ft (3.49 m) in Eq. 31a gives h = -10.8507 ft (-3.3073 m). Substituting in Eq. 37a gives E = 154.4 plf (0.23 g/m). Integrating Eq. 42 numerically gives W = 26,802 plf (39.94 g/m). Thus, $\psi = 0.3301$ degrees, and *T* in Eq. 41 becomes 5,566 plf (8.3 g/m). The stability factor $T_{max}/T_{design} = 5,566/3,872 = 1.438$. If using a straight line method, where ψ in Eq. 41 becomes $\alpha - \phi$, $\alpha = 29.66$ degrees, and $W = 0.5\gamma(y_0+y_1)x_0 = 28,314$ plf (42.2 g/m), then T = 5,885 plf (8.77 g/m), and the stability factor over the derived method.

Condition (ii)

For condition (ii), where the slip surface must pass through a line at x_0 or at y_1 below x_0 ,

it yields
$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial y'}\Big|_{x=x_0} = 0$$
, or from Eq. 14
 $-\gamma \frac{y_1(1 + \tan^2 \phi)}{(1 - y' \tan \phi)^2} = 0$(43)

Substituting Eq. 28a with y_1 instead of y in Eq. 43 where x' = 1/y' yields

$$\left(\tan\phi - \frac{1}{\cos\phi}\sqrt{\frac{y_1}{y_1 + h}}\right)^2 (y_1 + h) = 0 \qquad \text{(44a)}$$

$$\left(-\tan\phi - \frac{1}{\cos\phi}\sqrt{\frac{y_1}{y_1 + h}}\right)^2 (y_1 + h) = 0 \qquad \text{(44b)}$$

Thus
$$y_1 = -h$$
 or $y_1 = h \tan^2 \phi$ (45)

 y_1 is zero only if h = 0. Thus, all that can be obtained from Eq. 44a is the following problem: Consider that the slip surface must pass through a line at $x = x_0$ and have $y_1 = h \tan^2 \phi$ below x_0 . This makes x' = 0 or $y' = \infty$ in Eq. 28a. This condition can occur in the real world as seen in example 4 below, and *E* can be obtained from Eq. 37a. Note $y_1 = h \tan^2 \phi$ is invalid for passive pressure, since Eq. 44b is not zero. For $y_1 = -h$, h < 0 and y' = 0 or $x' = \infty$ in Eq. 28a-b. This situation can happen if the slip surface must pass through a line $x = x_0$ where the slope must be zero at y_1 below x_0 . Fig. 7 shows such an example of an active condition, where the concrete mat shown on top can sustain itself. Thus at the line $x = x_0$, y' = 0 due to deformation. In this example the weight of the slab is taken to be the same as the weight of soil. Substituting $h = -y_1$ and $y = y_1$ in Eq. 31a yields



$$x_{0} = (y_{1} - y_{0}) \tan \phi + \frac{1}{\cos \phi} \left(\sqrt{y_{0}^{2} - y_{1}y_{0}} - \frac{y_{1}}{2} \ln \left| \frac{y_{1}}{2\sqrt{y_{0}^{2} - y_{1}y_{0}} + 2y_{0} - y_{1}} \right| \right) \dots (46)$$

and the active force *E* can be obtained from Eq. 37a:

$$E = \gamma \left(\frac{y_0^2}{2} - \frac{y_1^2}{2} \right) \left(\tan^2 \phi + \frac{1}{\cos^2 \phi} \right) - \frac{\gamma \tan \phi}{\cos \phi} \left[\left(y_0 + \frac{y_1}{2} \right) \sqrt{y_0^2 - y_1 y_0} + \frac{y_1^2}{4} \ln \left| \frac{2\sqrt{y_0^2 - y_1 y_0} + 2y_0 - y_1}{y_1} \right| \right]$$
(47)

<u>Example 4</u> Consider Fig. 8, where the active pressure is to be calculated for a slip surface that avoids the basement wall. This problem is also similar to finding the active pressure for a wall adjacent to rocks or a vertical cliff.





Substituting Eq. 48 in Eq. 31a yields

$$\frac{x_0}{y_0} = (z-1)\tan\phi - \frac{1}{\cos\phi} \left(\frac{z}{\sin\phi} - \sqrt{1+z\cot^2\phi} - \frac{z\cot^2\phi}{2} \ln \left| \frac{(2/\sin\phi + 2 + \cot^2\phi)z}{2\sqrt{1+z\cot^2\phi} + 2 + z\cot^2\phi} \right| \right)$$
(49)

where $z = y_1/y_0$. Thus, for a given x_0 and y_0 , z can be calculated numerically from Eq. 49. Taking $h = y_1 \cot^2 \phi = zy_0 \cot^2 \phi$, it can be substituted in Eq. 37a to give the active force. Taking for example $y_0 = 20$ ft (6.1 m), $\gamma = 120$ pcf (1.92 g/cm³), $\phi = 30$ degrees, $x_0 = 5$ ft (1.52 m), gives z = 0.12475, $y_1 = 2.495$ ft (76.05 cm), and h = 7.484 ft (228.14 cm). Substituting in Eq. 37a gives E = 6,777 plf (10.1 g/m). If a line is taken from (0, y_0) to (x_0 , 0), using Eq. 3a, it gives E = 6,530 plf (9.73 g/m), a 3.8% difference over the derived. Now if a Coulomb method is used with y_3 as shown in Fig. 8, the wedge can be taken as the Coulomb line with a uniform surcharge γy_3 . This gives E = 5,428 plf (8.09 g/m), where the overburden $y_3 = 11.34$ ft (3.46 m). Thus, the Coulomb wedge does not give E_{max} and a difference of 25% is obtained over the derived.

For condition (ii), where the slip surface must pass through a line $y = y_1$, \Re in Eq. 15 can be rewritten as

$$\Re = \gamma \, \frac{1 + x' \tan \phi}{\tan \phi - x'} \, yx' \, \dots \tag{50}$$

If the Euler Eq. is used with Eq. 50, the same slip surface will be obtained. Thus, for a slip surface passing through a line $y = y_1$, it yields

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial x'}\Big|_{y=y_1} = 0 \tag{51}$$

Executing Eq. 51 on Eq. 50 yields

$$y_{1} \frac{\tan \phi (-x'^{2} + 2x' \tan \phi + 1)}{(\tan \phi - x')^{2}} = 0(52)$$
Thus,

$$x'|_{} = \tan \phi \pm \sqrt{\tan^{2} + 1} = \tan \phi \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tan^{2} + 1}}$$
(53)

$$x'|_{y=y_1} = \tan\phi \pm \sqrt{\tan^2 + 1} = \tan\phi \pm \frac{1}{\cos\phi}$$
(53)

This forces *h* in Eq. 26 to be zero, or the Coulomb wedge is the solution for this condition. This situation is similar to having a uniform surcharge at the line $y = y_1$. This confirms that the Coulomb wedge is the proper slip surface as described in Eq. 35 and in the extremum of Eq. 36.

Analysis 3

For a sloped smooth wall with a sloped back fill (see Fig. 9(a)) the slip surface for an active condition can be derived similarly. It is assumed that $T_i = T_{i+1}$ with $T_0 = 0$ (see companion paper by author on web <u>www.facsystems.com/prod01.htm</u> for otherwise). From the force diagram, Fig. 9(b), it yields

$$dE = dW [\cos\theta \tan(\alpha - \phi) + \sin\theta] \dots (54)$$

Thus,

$$E = \gamma \int_0^{x_0} \left[\cos\theta \tan(\alpha - \phi) + \sin\theta \right] \left[y + x \tan(\beta - \theta) \right] dx$$
 (55)

where
$$dW = [y + x \tan(\beta - \theta)] dx$$
. Eq. 55 can be rewritten as

$$E = \gamma k_4 \int_0^{x_0} \left(\sin \theta - \frac{u' + \tan \zeta}{1 - u' \tan \phi} \cos \theta \right) u dx \qquad (56)$$

where $k_4 = 1 + \tan \phi \tan(\beta - \theta)$, $\zeta = \phi - \beta + \theta$, $u = [y + x \tan(\beta - \theta)] / k_4$, and $u' = [y' + \tan(\beta - \theta)] / k_4$. From variational method, and repeating the same analysis as in analysis 2, it yields

$$\frac{x \tan(\beta - \theta)}{|I|} \qquad \beta - \theta \qquad x$$

$$\frac{1}{|I_{i+1}|} \qquad T_i \qquad \beta - \theta \qquad x$$

$$\frac{1}{|I_{i+1}|} \qquad T_i \qquad (x_0, y_1) \qquad \theta \qquad \theta \qquad \theta \qquad \theta$$

$$\frac{1}{|I_i|} \qquad \theta \qquad \theta$$
Force Diagram
FIG. 9(b)
Proposed Slip Surface
FIG. 9(a)
$$\frac{dx}{du} = \tan \phi - k_1 \sqrt{\frac{u}{u+h}} \qquad (57)$$
and the slip surface
$$x = u \tan \phi - k_1 \left(\sqrt{u^2 + hu} - \frac{h}{2} \ln \left[2\sqrt{u^2 + hu} + 2u + h\right]\right) + k \qquad (58)$$

where
$$k_1 = \sqrt{\left[\left(1 + \tan\phi\tan\zeta\right)\tan\phi\right] / \left(\tan\zeta - \tan\theta\right)}$$
, and k is the constant of integration.
(see App. III for *h*) Substituting Eq. 57 in Eq. 56 and rewriting yields
 $E = \gamma k_4 \int_{u_1}^{u_0} \left(k_3 - k_2 \frac{2u+h}{\sqrt{u^2 + hu}}\right) u du$ (59)

where

 $u_{0} = y_{0} / k_{4},$ $u_{1} = [y_{1} + x_{0} \tan(\beta - \theta)] / k_{4},$

$$k_{2} = \cos\theta \sqrt{\tan\phi} (\tan\zeta \tan\phi + 1) (\tan\zeta - \tan\theta), \text{ and}$$

$$k_{3} = -\sin\theta \tan\phi + \cos\theta (1 + 2\tan\zeta \tan\phi).$$

Note *E* is maximum at h = 0, giving the slip surface to be the Coulomb wedge. Thus the application of β and θ is the same as in Coulomb. Terzaghi (1943) [12], Rosenfarb and Chen (1972) [9] show for high β and $\theta = 0$ on a smooth wall, the slip surface is not a line and produces lower K_p values. However, for K_a values the differences were negligible. This means that the T_i 's due to the ramp are not zero in passive condition. This is possible for a high $\beta > 0$ influencing the slice contact shear. Equations could be derived for these conditions but have little practical value. Evaluating *E* in Eq. 59 yields

$$E = \gamma k_4 k_3 \left(\frac{u_0^2}{2} - \frac{u_1^2}{2} \right) - \gamma k_4 k_2 \left[\left(u_0 - \frac{h}{2} \right) \sqrt{u_0^2 + hu_0} - \left(u_1 - \frac{h}{2} \right) \sqrt{u_1^2 + hu_1} + \frac{h^2}{4} \ln \left| \frac{2\sqrt{u_0^2 + hu_0} + 2u_0 + h}{2\sqrt{u_1^2 + hu_1} + 2u_1 + h} \right| \right]$$
(60)

For condition (i) described before, the constant *h* and *k* can be obtained by substituting the two end points $(0, u_0)$ and (x_0, u_1) in the Eq. 58. For condition (ii): It is of merit to obtain the general solution, for the undetermined points, for a given curve and not just for a line. Some practical applications would be a buried vault, tunnel, tank, utility, etc. , that would interfere with the coulomb line. Suppose the given curve is $g_0(x, y) = 0$. By substituting for $y = k_4 u - x \tan(\beta - \theta)$ in $g_0(x, y)$, the new function will be g(x, u) = 0. If the end point (x_0, u_1) is obtained, then y_1 can be obtained from $y_1 = k_4 u_1 - x_0 \tan(\beta - \theta)$. From reference [17] the following condition must be satisfied for condition (ii):

$$\frac{\partial \Re}{\partial u'}\Big|_{x=x_0} - \frac{\left|\frac{\partial g}{\partial u}\right|_{u=u_1} \int \Re|_{x=x_0} \right]}{\left|\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}\right|_{x=x_0} + u'_1 \frac{\partial g}{\partial u}\Big|_{u=u_1}} = 0$$
(61)

where \Re is the integrand of Eq. 56. Doing the mathematics of Eq. 61, and solving for u'_1 and using a relation for *h* from Eq. 57, yields

$$h = \left[\frac{1 - R(u_1)k_1^2 \cot \phi}{-R(u_1)k_1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{R(u_1)(1 + \tan \phi \tan \theta)}{(\tan \zeta - \tan \theta)}}} \right]^2 (62)$$

where

$$R(u_{1}) = \frac{\frac{\partial g(x,u)}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=x_{0}}}{\frac{\partial g(x,u)}{\partial u}\Big|_{u=u_{1}}}$$
(63)

 $R(u_1)$ is a function of u_1 alone is because x_0 can be determined from $g(x_0, u_1) = 0$. Now, k in Eq. 58 is determined from the point $(0, u_0)$. Thus, substituting Eq. 61 in Eq. 58 and replacing (x, y) by (x_0, u_1) gives an equation with u_1 as the only unknown. Hence, u_1 can be determined (numerically).

The following are two important functions:

where x_0 can be determined from the quadratic equation in $g(x_0, u_1) = 0$.

The following equations are the results for the condition where $k_4 = 0$: Eq. 55 yields:

$$E = \gamma \int_{0}^{x_{0}} \left(\sin \theta + \cos \theta \cot \phi + \frac{k_{5}}{u'} \right) u dx$$

$$dx \quad h \quad k.$$
(66)

$$\frac{dx}{du} = \frac{n}{u} - \frac{\kappa_6}{2k_5} \tag{67}$$

$$x = h \ln u - \frac{k_6}{2k_5} u + k$$
 (68)

$$E = \gamma \int_{u_0}^{u_1} \left(k_5 \frac{h^2}{u} - \frac{k_6^2}{4k_5} u \right) du$$
(69)
$$E = \gamma \frac{k_6^2}{8k_5} \left(u_0^2 - u_1^2 \right) - \gamma k_5 h^2 \ln \left| \frac{u_0}{u} \right|$$
(70)

where
$$u = y + x \tan(\beta - \theta), u' = y' + \tan(\beta - \theta), u_0 = y_0, u_1 = y_1 + x_0 \tan(\beta - \theta),$$

where $u = y + x \tan(p - \theta)$, $u = y + \tan(p - \theta)$, $u_0 = y_0$, $u_1 = y_1 + x_0 \tan(p - \theta)$, $k_5 = \cos\theta / \sin^2\phi$, $k_6 = \cos(\theta - \phi) / \sin\phi$, and k is the constant of integration. (see App. III for h). For Condition (ii):

$$h = -\left[1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{k_6 R(u_1)}{k_5}}\right]^2 \frac{u_1}{2R(u_1)}$$
(71)

where $R(u_1)$ is of Eq. 63. To obtain the solution for a line or a circle, set $k_4 = 1$ in Eqs. 64 and 65, and u_1 can be determined numerically from Eq. 68.

For the passive condition replace ϕ by $-\phi$ in the above equations, starting with Eq. 54.

It is noteworthy that if the axis in Fig. 9(a) is rotated by $-(\beta - \theta)$, the new axis will be $\overline{x} = -y \sin(\beta - \theta) + x \cos(\beta - \theta)$, $\overline{y} = y \cos(\beta - \theta) + x \sin(\beta - \theta)$, and $x = \overline{x} \cos(\beta - \theta) + \overline{y} \sin(\beta - \theta)$. If substituting for the new axis in the slip surface of Eq. 58, the resulting slip surface is similar to what has been obtained in Eq. 29, only with different constant values.

Surcharge

If there is a uniform surcharge q on top of the wall, it can be replaced by soil such that the soil thickness above y is $y_s = q / \gamma$. Thus y becomes $y + y_s$ in the integral Eqs. 11, 12, 14 and 55. By making a change of variable $y_t = y + y_s$, the results will be similar and the equations easily modifiable.

Wall Pressure

The location of the Coulomb force is at $(2/3)y_0$ from the top of wall for triangular pressure. This is a reasonable assumption since K_a is independent of y_0 , yielding $dE/dy_0 =$ $\gamma K_{\alpha} y_0$. However, this method is not applicable for examples 1, 2 and 3. A different approach is necessary in order to find the pressure. This can be done by moving down the wall from the top at incremental distances $v + \Delta v$, and find the potential slip surfaces, and forces. Thus, a table of E_i and y_i can be created. The stresses at a distance y_i can be taken as $(E_i - E_{i-1}) / (y_i - y_{i-1})$. This will give the same result as in a Coulomb condition. For example 1, 2, and 3, this method will take into account the Coulomb conditions at the top of the wall. One needs to examine the wall boundaries and movements before using the method. The following considerations need to be examined: (1) The potential slip surfaces above y_0 assumes the friction is almost fully mobilized. (2) The friction on the wall may vary. It may not be constant throughout. (3) No abrupt changes in deflection (the wall is continuous). In assumption (1), the friction on the bottom of the slice is $\phi \leq \phi$. However, if the wall movements indicate the entire wedge is either active or passive, then $\phi \approx \phi$. $\phi \neq \phi$ because the soil above and below y_i are moving together resulting in the apparent slip surface on the bottom of the wall. However, they must be close. In consideration (2), even on a Coulomb wedge, if the friction of the wall varies it will produce non-linear pressure.

Conclusion

Variational method has been used to determine active and passive forces for a smooth wall with a cohesionless soil. The methods are classical, conventional, and only practical assumptions were used. The resulting slip surface shows that the extremum of the force occurs when the slip surface is the Coulomb line. Additionally, in order to have the Coulomb failure surface, the internal shear in the Bishop slices is required to be zero. For special cases where the slip surface is dictated by physical conditions and must pass

through a point, a line, or a curve, the forces and the slip surface can be obtained for both active and passive conditions. Also, a method of calculating the pressures on the wall is given. It can be seen that this method is adequate and will always give the derived slip surface. It has been noted in the examples given that the derived slip surface has not produced a significant difference over using a straight line. Using a line instead of the derived slip surface shows a difference in the horizontal force varying from 1% to 6%. Although these differences are of desirable accuracies, having the correct slip surface is important when considering the influence of neighboring structures, activities, or discontinuities. All equations have been checked to a numerical identity: see App. III.

Acknowledgments

The writer is deeply appreciative to his wife Bernice J.F. Chouery and his mother Yvonne E. Chouery for the love and patience in giving valuable family support to do this manuscript. Many thanks to Prof. John F. Stanton, Prof. Colin B. Brown and Prof. Sunirmal Banerjee of the University of Washington for their support during graduate study and in their continuous encouragement to publish these findings.

Appendix I.-References

- 1. Baker, R. and Garber, M. (1978). "Theoretical Analysis of the Stability of Slopes," *Géotechnique*, 28, No. 4, London, England, pp. 395-411.
- 2. Bishop, A. W. (1955). "The Use of Slip Circle in the Stability Analysis Analysis of Slopes," *Géotechnique*, London, England, Vol. 5, No. 1, pp. 7-18.
- 3. Broms, B. B. (1968). "Swedish Tie-Back System for Sheet Pile Walls," *Proc. 3rd Budapest Conf. Soil Mech. Found. Eng.*, Budapest, pp. 391-403.
- 4. Coulomb, Charles Augustin (1776). "Essai sur une application des règles de maximis et minimis à quelques problèmes de statique relatifs à l'architecture," *Mem. Div. Savants,* Acad. Sci., Paris, Vol. 7.
- 5. Kranz, E. (1953). "Über die Verankerung von Spundwänden," 2 Auflage, Mitteilungen aus dem Gebiete des Wasserbaues und der Baugrundforschung, Heft 11, Berlin: With, Ernst and Sohn.
- 6. Leshchinsky, D. (1990). "Slope Stability Analysis: Generalized Approch," *Journal of Geotechnical Engineering*, ASCE, Vol. 116, No. 5, pp. 851-867.
- Ranke, A., and Ostermayer, H. (1968)." Beitrag zur Stabilitäts-Untersuchung mehrfach verankerter Baugrubenumschliessungen" (A Contribution to the Stability Calculation on Multiple Tie-Back Walls), Die Bautechnick, Vol. 45, No. 10, pp. 341-349.
- 8. Rankine, W. J. M. (1857). "On the stability of loose earth," *Trans. Royal Soc.*, London, 147.
- 9. Rosenfarb, J. L., and W. F. Chen (1972). "Limit Analysis Solutions of Earth Pressure Problems," *Fritz Laboratory* Roport No. 355.14, Lehigh University, pp. 53.

- Shields, D. H., and Tolunay A. Z. (1973). "Passive Pressure Coefficients by Method of Slices," *Journal of Soil Mechanics and Foundations Division*, ASCE, Vol. 99, No. SM12, pp. 1043-1053.
- 11. Terzaghi, Karl (1941). "General Wedge Theory of Earth Pressures," *Trans. Am. Soc. Civil Eng.* No.106, Vol. 67, pp. 68-80
- 12. Terzaghi, Karl (1943). Theoretical Soil Mechanics, Wiley and Sons, New York.
- 13. Terzaghi, Karl, and Ralph B. Peck (1967). Soil Mechanics in Engineering Practice, 2nd ed., John Wiley, New York.
- U.S. Department of Commerce, National Technical Information Service, PB-257 212, (1976). "Lateral Support Systems and Underpinning Vol. II and III : Construction Methods" D. T. Golderg, et al., Goldber-Zoino and Asso., Inc., Newton Falls, Mass., pp. 180-196 in Vol. I and pp. 166-185 in Vol. II.
- 15. Weinstock R. (1974). Calculus of Variations With Applications to Physics and Engineering, Dover Publications, Inc., New York, pp. 22-25, and pp. 36-40.

Appendix II.- Notation

The following symbols are used in this paper:

α	= angle of the failure wedge, or of failure a slice, with the horizontal;
a	= slope of line equation ($y = ax + b$);
β	= angle from the horizontal for ramp of soil on top of wall;
b	= the y-axis intercept of line equation ($y = ax + b$);
Δy	= incremental vertical distance;
Ě	= horizontal active force on the wall to maintain equilibrium;
E_i	= horizontal active force on a slice to maintain equilibrium;
$\vec{E_i}$	= horizontal active force on wall at distance y_i ;
ϕ	= angle of internal friction of soil;
ø	= immobile angle of friction soil;
f(y)	= mathematical function in calculating the weight of soil in the slip surface;
γ	= soil unit weight;
g(x, u)	= curve function where the slip surface must pass through in (x, u) coordinates;
$g_0(x, y)$	= curve function where the slip surface must pass through in (x, y) coordinates;
h	= mathematical coefficient in the slip surface equations related to h_0 ;
h_0	= mathematical coefficient in the slip surface equations;
i	= integer counter;
j	= integer counter;
K_0	= at rest earth pressure coefficient;
k	= constant of integration;
k_1 to k_6	= mathematical coefficient for representations slip surface and active force Eq.'s;
K_a	= active earth pressure coefficient;
K_p	= passive earth pressure coefficient;
λ	= dimensionless coefficient;
n	= integer counter;
P_{a}	= horizontal active force on a tieback wall to maintain equilibrium:

 $p_a^{"}$ = horizontal active force above center of tieback grout length;

Q	= reactive force on bottom of failure wedge or slice to maintain equilibrium;
q	= uniform surcharge pressure on top of wall;
q(y)	= mathematical horizontal pressure function;
R	= calculus of variation function of mixed variables representing the integrand;
$R(u_1)$	= a non dimensional function = $(\partial g / \partial x) / (\partial g / \partial u)$ at $u = u_1$ and $x = x_0$;
r	= the radius of a circle;
θ	= angle from the vertical for slant wall;
Т	$=T_{\rm max};$
$T_{\rm design}$	= design tieback tension force;
T_i^{acongle}	= vertical shearing force on a slice to maintain equilibrium;
$T_{\rm max}$	= maximum tieback tension force to failure;
u	= new variable of distance as function of x and y;
u_0	$= y_0;$
u_1	= new variable of distance as function of x_0 and y_1 ;
W	= vertical force from soil weight;
ξ	= tieback angle from horizontal;
x	= coordinate <i>x</i> -axis;
\overline{x}	= rotated coordinate of x-axis;
x_0	= <i>x</i> -coordinate at the end of the slip surface on top of the wall or in the soil;
x_c	= the <i>x</i> -coordinate of the center of a circle;
Ψ	= directional angle from the vertical for the reactive force Q;
У	= coordinate <i>y</i> -axis;
$\overline{\mathcal{Y}}$	= rotated coordinate of <i>y</i> -axis;
\mathcal{Y}_0	= height of wall;
y_1	= y-coordinate point on the end of the slip surface in the soil;
\mathcal{Y}_2	= distance from top of the wall to tieback at face of the wall;
y_3	= distance from top of the wall to Coulomb wedge;
y_c	= the <i>y</i> -coordinate of the center of a circle;
y_j	= the $y + \Delta y$ incremental distance on the wall for active force E_i ;
y_s	= equivalent soil height to produce surcharge pressure q;
\mathcal{Y}_t	$= y + y_s;$
ζ	$= \phi - \beta + \theta$, and
Ζ	= dimensionless coefficient.

Appendix III.- Numerical Check

With the advent of software technology, numerical differentiation and integration has become easier. Algebra can be checked from one equation to a reduced equation by numerical substitution to give identical values. Many software programs are available to do the checking. All of the derived equations were checked with MATHCAD on a personal computer, including starting with the variational (Euler equation). The following constants' relations are necessary if the reader needs to double-check the writer:

Eq. 23 $h = \frac{h_0}{\gamma \tan \phi};$

<i>Eq.</i> 57	$\dots h = \frac{h_0}{\dots}$		
1	$\gamma \cos \theta (\tan \zeta - \tan \theta) k_4$		
<i>Eq.</i> 67	$\dots h = \frac{h_0 \sin^2 \phi}{2};$		
-	$2\gamma\cos\theta$		
Eq. 61 to form Eq. 62 $\frac{dx}{du} = \frac{1}{1 - R(u_1)k_1^2 \cot q}$	$\frac{1}{\phi} \left[\tan \phi - k_1 \sqrt{1 - R(u_1) \frac{(1 + \tan \phi \tan \theta)}{\tan \zeta - \tan \theta}} \right];$		
and			
Eq. 61 to form Eq. 71	$\frac{dx}{du} = \frac{1}{R(u_1)} \left[-1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{k_6 R(u_1)}{k_5}} \right].$		
If a copy of the program is needed, please write.			